Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

William H. Dippert, Esq. Reed Smith LLP 599 Lexington Avenue, 29<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10022-7650

In re Application of

Baussi et al.

Application No.: 10/516,926

PCT No.: PCT/IL03/00438

Int. Filing Date: 26 May 2003 : DECISION

Priority Date: 29 May 2002

Attorney Docket No.: 340/04299

For: Direction Finding Cell Phones

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) filed on 01 December 2004.

## **BACKGROUND**

This international application was filed on 26 May 2003, claimed an earlier priority date of 29 May 2002, and designated the U.S. The International Bureau transmitted a copy of the published international application to the USPTO on 04 December 2003. The 30 month time period for paying the basic national fee in the United States expired at midnight on 29 November 2004. This international application had become abandoned with respect to the national stage in the U.S. for failure to timely file the full required basic national fee.

## **DISCUSSION**

A grantable petition to revive an abandoned application under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by (1) the required reply, unless previously filed; (2) the petition fee as set forth in § 1.17(m); (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in § 1.20 (d)) required pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section.

Regarding requirement (1), the required reply in the form of the basic national fee accompanied the petition.

Regarding requirement (2), the petition included authorization to charge the petition fee to counsel's deposit account.

Regarding requirement (3), the petition includes a statement that "The entire delay in filing the application from the due date until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37

C.F.R. §1.137(b) was unintentional." This statement is being construed as a statement that "the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional." Petitioner must notify the Patent and Trademark Office if such an interpretation of the statement in the petition is not correct. Thus, the statement in the petition is being accepted in satisfaction of 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3).

Regarding requirement (4), no terminal disclaimer is required because the international filing date of this application is later than 8 June 1995.

## **DECISION**

The petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) is **GRANTED**.

This application is being forwarded to the National Stage Processing Branch for further processing, including the preparation and mailing of a Notification of Missing Requirements (Form PCT/DO/EO/905) requiring the submission of an executed oath or declaration of the inventors.

Richard Cole

PCT Legal Examiner

PCT Legal Office

George M. Dombroske PCT Legal Examiner

PCT Legal Office Tel: (571) 272-3283 Fax: (571) 273-0459